

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
 09/758,790	01/11/2001	Mark David Hoffbeck	1364	9345		
27310	7590 03/12/2002					
PIONEER H	I-BRED INTERNAT	EXAMINER				
7100 N.W. 621		FOX, DAVID T				
P.O. BOX 1000						
JOHNSTON,	IA 50131		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1638			
			DATE MAILED: 03/12/2002	う う		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

On 1758, 700

Feature | Apple ant(s) | Apple ant(s) |

Examiner | Group Art Unit |

(638)

<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).</li> <li>Status</li> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on</li> <li>This action is FINAL.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ul>			FOX	1638				
ASHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE	—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sh	eet beneath the co	orrespondence address-				
ASHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE	Period for Reply	5	<b>)</b> —					
from the malling date of this communication.  If the period for reply secified above is less than thirly (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Status  Responsive to communication(s) filled on	• •	EXPIRE	MONTH(S	) FROM THE MAILING DATE				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply to specified above, such period shall, by default, expenses the period shall by default, expenses the period shall be period shall by default.	within the statutory pire SIX (6) MONTH	minimum of thirty (30)	days will be considered timely. te of this communication .				
This action is FINAL     Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.    Disposition of Claims	Status							
This action is FINAL     Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.    Disposition of Claims	☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on			•				
Disposition of Claims    Claim(s)	•							
Claim(s)	<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except to accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935</li> </ul>	r formal matters, C.D. 1 1; 453 O.0	<b>prosecution as t</b> o 3. 213.	the merits is closed in				
Of the above claim(s)	Disposition of Claims							
Claim(s)								
Claim(s)								
Claim(s)	□ Claim(s)		is/are	allowed.				
Claim(s)	Claim(s) 1-47	·	is/are	rejected.				
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.  The proposed drawing correction, filed on isapproveddisapproved.  The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  AllSome* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received.  The received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).  *Certified copies not received:  Attachment(s)  Selnformation Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s) Interview Summary, PTO-413  Selnformation Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1992 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-190-190.	□ Claim(s)		is/are	objected to.				
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.  The proposed drawing correction, filed on isapproveddisapproved.  The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  AllSome* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received.  The received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).  *Certified copies not received:  Attachment(s)  Selnformation Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s) Interview Summary, PTO-413  Selnformation Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1992 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-190-190.	□ Claim(s)		are s	ubject to restriction or election				
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.    The proposed drawing correction, filed on	,		requi	rement.				
The proposed drawing correction, filed on is approved □ disapproved. □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) □ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).  *Certified copies not received: □ Interview Summary, PTO-413 □ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-		Review PTO-94	8.					
The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received.  received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)				ed.				
The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received.  received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)  received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).  *Certified copies not received:  Attachment(s)  Selnformation Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).  Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objects	d to by the Exam	niner.					
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been □ received.  received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) □ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).  *Certified copies not received: □  Attachment(s)  SInformation Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). □ □ Interview Summary, PTO-413  Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-	-	•						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been  □ received.  □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)  □ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).  *Certified copies not received:  Attachment(s)  ☑ Interview Summary, PTO-413  ☑ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892  □ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-	•							
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received.  received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)  received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).  *Certified copies not received:  **Attachment(s)  **Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). Interview Summary, PTO-413  **Selection of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892  Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-				•				
□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been   □ received.   □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)   □ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).   *Certified copies not received:  **Attachment(s)**  Attachment(s)*  SInformation Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).  □ Interview Summary, PTO-413   □ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-1449	•	ler 35 U.S.C. § 1	1 9(a)-(d).					
□ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) □ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).  *Certified copies not received: ■  Attachment(s) ② Interview Summary, PTO-413 ③-Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-								
□ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).  *Certified copies not received:  Attachment(s)  SInformation Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).  □ Interview Summary, PTO-413 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-		• ,•						
*Certified copies not received:	□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)							
Attachment(s)  Summary, PTO-413  Hotice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892  Attachment(s)  Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).  Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-413  Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-413  Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-413								
⊗ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). □ Interview Summary, PTO-413 □ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-	*Certified copies not received:			·				
✓ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413  ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-	Attachment(s)	2 -						
☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-			☐ Interview Sur	mmary, PTO-413				
•				ormal Patent Application, PTO-15				
·	•	3	□ Other					
Office Action Summary			nv.					

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97) Part of Paper No.



Art Unit: 1638

Applicants' intent on page 52 of the specification to deposit under all of the conditions of 37 CFR 1.801-1.809 is acknowledged.

Claims 1, 6, 21, 25, 37 and 40 are objected to for their inclusion of blanks "\_\_\_\_\_". It is assumed that the blanks will be replaced by the ATCC deposit accession number.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 3, 5, 14, 16, 19, 20, 22, 24, 33, 35, 41, 43, 45, 46 and 48-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 3 and 22 are indefinite in their recitation of "wherein said plant is male sterile" which is confusing, since the claims from which they depend are not drawn to a male sterile plant.

Replacement of the phrase with --further comprising a genetic factor conferring male sterility--would obviate this rejection.

Claims 5 and 24 are indefinite in their recitation of "the...protoplasts" which lacks antecedent basis in the claims from which they depend. Deletion of "the" before "cells" in line 1, and insertion of --of the tissue culture-- after "protoplasts" in line 1, would obviate this rejection.

Claims 14, 33, 41, 45 and 46 are indefinite in their recitation of "high", "fast", "above average", "late" and "adapted" which are unduly narrative and so fail to clearly characterize the degree of expression of the claimed trait or the claimed maize plant exhibiting the trait.



Application/Control Number: 09/758,790

Art Unit: 1638

Claims 16 and 35 are indefinite in their recitation of "[t]he maize plant breeding program" since the claims from which they depend are drawn to methods rather than breeding programs.

Replacement of the phrase with "[t]he method" would obviate this rejection.

Claims 19-20 and 48-49 are indefinite in their recitation of "[t]he single gene conversion(s) of claim" since the preceding claims are drawn to maize plants rather than single gene conversions. Replacement of "conversion(s)" with --conversion--, and insertion of --maize plant--after "conversion", would obviate this rejection.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 14, 33, 43 and 45-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Dowden (U.S. 5,880,339).

The claims are drawn to maize plants exhibiting two traits and which are derived from the exemplified maize inbred following an unspecified number of crosses for an unspecified number of



Art Unit: 1638

generations with other plants of unspecified genetic complements, wherein at least one parent was the exemplified maize plant.

Dowden teaches an inbred maize plant developed in Iowa with high yield and adapted to the Northcentral and Central Corn Belt regions of the United States (see, e.g., columns 9-10, Table 1). The plant taught by Dowden differs from the claimed plant only in the derivation from a particular maize parent. However, the method of making the maize plant would not confer a unique characteristic to the resultant plant which would distinguish it from the prior art plant, given the loss of parental genotypic contribution with each outcross. See *In re Thorpe*, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985), which teaches that a product-by-process claim may be properly rejectable over prior art teaching the same product produced by a different process, if the process of making the product fails to distinguish the two products.

Claims 1-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dowden (U.S. 5,880,339).

Dowden teaches an inbred Dent maize plant with yellow endosperm, dark green leaves, pink anther, green glume, green-yellow silk, pendant ear, and curved row direction (see, e.g., Table 4, columns 16-17), wherein the plant was developed by crossing other breeding lines exhibiting desirable traits, wherein tissue culture or genetic engineering may be further employed to introduce other traits, and wherein the inbred can be used to produce other desirable hybrids (see entire patent).

Dowden does not teach a maize plant with white cobs.

Application/Control Number: 09/758,790

Art Unit: 1638

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize the maize plant taught by the reference and to modify that plant by breeding with other maize plants to incorporate other desirable agronomic traits, as suggested by the reference.

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David T. Fox whose telephone number is (703) 308-0280. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 10:30AM to 7:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amy Nelson, can be reached on (703) 306-3218. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306. The after final fax phone number is (703) 872-9307.

March 11, 2002

DAVID T. FOX
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 189

( Swind ). V